

Avilla, IN 46710

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** CRL0001.US 7234 Leon K. Creech 10/633,803 08/04/2003 **EXAMINER** 7590 · 10/07/2004 Todd T. Taylor GROSZ, ALEXANDER TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 142 S. Main St. P.O. Box 560 3673

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,803	CREECH, LEON K.	r~
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Grosz	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/4</u>	103		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) -20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 4/03 is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			04(-1)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 999403	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/633,803

Art Unit: 3673

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a fitted sheet, classified in class 5, subclass 497.

II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method of making a sheet, classified in class 5, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case such as omitting the <u>removing</u> the square corner of a rectangular sheet, and instead, stiching lateral strips to a sheet.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Taylor's office on 9/28/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Note the close prior art cited on attached PTO 892.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,803

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Alex Grosz at telephone number (703) 308-2498.

Grosz/vs September 30, 2004

